

Jack Venrick

From: "Jack Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
To: <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>
Sent: Monday, December 17, 2007 1:20 AM
Attach: whats-new-8.jpg; [proprights] FW_ New Low.eml; Taxpayers now face the nightmare scenario.eml; How Congress Trashed The Constitution & Our Freedoms & Our Liberties & Our Lives.eml; Skeptical Scientists Urge World To 'Have the Courage to Do Nothing' At UN Conference.eml; whats-new-8.jpg
Subject: Massive Embezzlement & Assault on Private Property & Free Choice in Wshington State and America



Photo J. Venrick Boston Freedom Trail

*As British troops marched upon rural farmers in Lexington and Concord Massachusetts in 1775 to seize colonial weapon stores,
 so American government marches against rural private property owners again,
 seizing our individual constitutional rights, our homes, our land, our rights to bear arms, our private property, our private lives, our children's education,
 while ignoring our borders, our common language, our Constitution, our Common law, our Natural laws, our rights of due process, honest elections, our separation of powers, prior high court rulings, etc.*

December 17, 2007

To:
 Washington State U.S. Representative Reichert (email and form email)
 Washington State U.S. Senator Cantwell (form email)

Washington State U.S. Senator Murray (form email)
 Washington State House and Senate (email)
 Washington State Supreme Court (email)
 Washington State Governor (email)
 Washington State Attorney General (form & regular email)
 Washington Secretary of State (email)
 Washington State Prosecuting Attorney Offices (email)
 Association of Washington Cities (email)
 King County Council (email)
 King County Ag Council (email)
 King County DDES (email)

Note: This email is addressed to my Washington State key government servants who have taken an oath of Office to support support the Constitution of the United States.

"RCW 43.01.020 Oath of Office: The governor, lieutenant governor, secretary of state, treasurer, auditor, attorney general, superintendent of public instruction, commissioner of public lands, and insurance commissioner, shall, before entering upon the duties of their respective offices, offices, take and subscribe an oath or affirmation in substance as follows: **I do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution and laws of the state of Washington, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties of the office of (name of office) to the best of my ability.**

The oath or affirmation shall be administered by one of the justices of the supreme court at the capitol. A certificate shall be affixed thereto by the person administering the oath, and the oath or affirmation so certified shall be filed in the office of the secretary of state before the officer shall be qualified to discharge any official duties: PROVIDED, That the oath of the secretary of state shall be filed in the office of the state auditor. "

"Property" is mentioned in the U.S. Constitution four times, "private property" once. My research shows a massive breach of of the laws of the land especially upon private property owners in America to a level many of you may not imagine nor believe when you read this.

I offer these findings of fact for your study especially for those of you who make decisions directly and indirectly impacting private property in Washington State. I have set this up in outline format so you may more quickly scan it to the the level of detail and time you have.

Thank you for your time and consideration on these serious issues.

bcc:


Private Property Owners
 Property Rights Groups
 Freedom Foundations
 Media

Table of Contents

- I. More local Washington State government unconstitutional takings of our private property**
- II. Government continued confiscation of our inalienable rights including our private property**
- III. Government tools of the trade taking our private property**
- IV. VanHome's Lessee v. Dorrance Case**
- V. Twenty-seven additional government encroachments taking our private property**
- VI. SUMMARY**
- VII. WAKE UP AMERICA & TAKE BACK YOUR PRIVATE PROPERTY, THE KEYS TO THE KINGDOM**
- VIII. LITTLE SHOP OF HORRORS FEED ME LYRICS**

I. More recent Washington State government unconstitutional takings of our private property

1. **Recent Washington Supreme Court 5-4 decision against I-747,**
 - 5 justices ruled against private property owners allowing unconstitutional property taxes to increase more than 1% than 1%
 - 5 justices ruled that voters "didn't understand" and were "misled" after a 58% vote by the WA State voters and
 - after the Washington DC labor unions spent over \$1 million dollars against it.
 - note Tim Eyman's (Initiative King for the little man in Washington State) see his email above
2. **U.S. Representative Dave Reichert (WA State) statement below**
 - he is spending our money to save us money funding "alternative energy" that does not exist
 - there is no such thing as "alternative energy" i.e. it is not economically or commercially viable, please read below
3. **Persecution of thousands of innocent rural property owners including;**
 - Paul Hiatt, Charles Strauss, The Lechner Family, Chuck Pillon, et al
 - through arbitrary, capricious and unfounded takings of their basic private property and substantive due process.
4. **An apparent vindictive collusion in Puget Sound government striking against small rural private property owners, including;**
 - WA State government offices, including DOT, DOE, DFW, etc
 - Government departments that touch land or water
 - This growing green movement of taking private property, cities and counties hostage is spreading east to central Washington
 - Certain green extreme activists groups in WA State
 - Certain judges, PA's & clerks on the District & Superior Courts of Pierce County
 - Small rural private property owners are witnessing many egregious unconstitutional and slanderous takings
 - One particular case involves an innocent property owner who has been jailed, lost his job and bankrupt over 4 years because of clearing and digging a ditch on his land and burning slash both of which he had approval.
 - There is a growing suspicion of an agenda in Puget Sound government to make green extreme examples against innocent private property owners using trumped up cases and charges
 - Certain county code enforcement offices, especially Pierce County & King County DDES
 - Rural property owners have growing cases of government doing the following:
 1. trespassing, harassing, brutalizing, violating private property owner rights, ignoring fundamental laws of the land (due process),
 2. making up preposterous allocations, levying unwarranted, vindictive & excessive regulations, codes, fines, bonds, liens, permit costs, delays,
 3. overly aggressive charges and fines including jail time upon innocent rural private property owners.
 - **This is a petition for any brave hearts in government, if there are any, particularly in the Puget Sound, e.g. King County & Pierce County**
 - **to blow the whistle on those who are operating this suspected green agenda vs. due process, (laws of the land)**
 - **especially in the following areas:**
 1. **executive offices**
 2. **district & superior courts,**
 3. **clerks office,**
 4. **prosecuting attorney offices,**
 5. **planning departments**
 6. **and code enforcement areas**



II. Government continues confiscation of our inalienable rights including our private property with the mendacity to:

- **Take our private property by unconstitutional zoning and green regulatory acts**
 - "Thus, despite the presumption of constitutionality, a zoning ordinance which renders property almost worthless constitutes an unconstitutional taking of private property without due process. Grand Trunk Western RR Co v City of Detroit, 326 Mich 387; 40 NW2d 195 (1949).
- **Take our private property illegitimately by legislation and ordinances**
 - **There are limits to the legislation...and the power of the city to enact ordinances is not absolute**
 - *"There are, however, limits to the power of the legislature and these are described in Kropf v City of Sterling Mich 139, 157-158; 215 NW2d 179, 186 (1974), in which the court stated: 'A plaintiff-citizen may be denied substantive due process by the city or municipality by the enactment of legislation, in this case a zoning has, in the final analysis, no reasonable basis for its very existence. The power of the city to enact ordinances is It has been given power by the State of Michigan to zone and regulate land use within its boundaries so that the police powers of the state may be more effectively implemented on the local level. But the state cannot confer unit of government that which it does not have. For the state itself to legislate in a manner that affects the of its citizens, the state must show that it has a sufficient interest in protecting or implementing the common police powers, that such private interests must give way to this higher interest. Different degrees of state interest by the courts, depending upon the type of private interest which is being curtailed. When First Amendment rights restricted we require the state to justify its legislation by a 'compelling' state interest. With regard to zoning only ask that they be 'reasonable'. And, as we have stated, they are presumed to be so until the plaintiff shows*
 - *'In looking at this 'reasonableness' requirement for a zoning ordinance, this Court will bear in mind that a process grounds contains a two-fold argument; first, that there is no reasonable governmental interest being present zoning classification itself, . . . or secondly, that an ordinance may be unreasonable because of the capricious and unfounded exclusion of other types of legitimate land use from the area in question. Though each arguments are founded upon due process, is reality they are distinct arguments, each requiring different added]' Attorney General State of Michigan 1977*
also Fass v City of Highland Park, 321 Mich 156, 161; 32 NW2d 375, 377 (1948).
- **Take our private property by:**
 - **ignoring constitutional law, natural law, common law, God's law**
 - **plundering, committing larceny and terrorizing private property owners, e.g. King and Pierce County governments**
 - **taking from A and giving to B by force**
 - **committing larceny, swindling and embezzling private property owners homes, land, privacy and wages**
 1. **larceny = "the wrongful taking and carrying away of the personal goods of another with intent to convert the to the taker's own use"**
 2. **swindle = "1. to cheat out of money or other assets, 2. to obtain by fraud or deceit."**
 3. **embezzle = "to appropriate fraudulently to one's own use, as money or property entrusted to one's care"**

4. The Random House Dictionary of the English Language

- Shattered Dreams: 100 Stories of Government Abuse - <http://www.nationalcenter.org/ShatteredDreams.html>

The Two Revolutions

The Concord authors were inspired by the Revolutionary battle that occurred in their town; the events of 1775 shaped their thoughts and writings. Motivated by the political Revolution of the 1700s, they helped create an American literary revolution in the 1800s.

They expanded on the ideals of community and self-government that the Minute Men fought for. They developed principles of individual independence that greatly influence our thinking today.



"The progress from an absolute to a limited monarchy to a democracy, is a progress toward a true respect for the individual."

Henry David Thoreau

"It is marvellous to see how many powerful writers, orators, and soldiers started up just at the time that they were wanted. There was a man for every kind of work. It is equally wonderful that men of such different character were all made to unite in the one objective of establishing the freedom and independence of America."

Nathaniel Hawthorne

Photo J. Venrick Lexington Concord Battle Minute Man National Historical Park

III. Government tools of the trade taking our private property

A. The escalating usurping of natural law, common law, constitutional law and the misinterpretation of the founding laws and spirit of those laws

B. Rural property owners find the following government servants violating their oath of office to defend the Constitution, Constitution, e.g. our inalienable rights


1. Lower court judges and clerks of the court
2. County councils and executives blatant embezzlement of private property
3. All government departments that touch our land, homes, water, roads, wildlife, e.g. DOT, DOE, DFW
4. Unelected non government committees & tribunals appointed by government officials to over ride basic due process (laws of the land)
5. Federal and State congress
6. Executive offices at all levels of government
7. Total ignorance of all government employees of basic private property rights

C. The escalating use of deception, distortion, malfeasance, misfeasance, larceny, swindles, embezzlement, untruthfulness, creation of myths, exaggerations, self reinforcing half truths within circles of benefiting groups while ignoring basic independent scientific AND constitutional principals.

D. The ignoring, dishonoring and progressive misinterpretation of our founding laws and pre 1938 high court precedent precedent setting cases

E. The unconstitutional adoption of European policies and laws without the consent of We The Free People

1. Stealing Our Heritage via "National Heritage Areas - <http://thenewdominion.com/?p=1467#comments>
2. Massive Heritage area land grab through Lame Duck Session - <http://www.americanpolicy.org/sledgehammer/landgrab.htm>



Washington Supreme Court Justice Richard B. Saunders

IV. VanHome's Lessee v. Dorrance Case - <http://press-pubs.uchicago.edu/founders/documents/v1ch16s24.html>

Note: Justice William Paterson wisdom 212 years ago is prophetic and as applicable to today as in 1795. This is a must must read summary of the case.

- **Vanhorne's Lessee v. Dorrance**

Vanhorne'S Lessee v. Dorrance, 2 U.S. (2 Dallas) 304 (1795), was one of the earliest cases in which a federal court asserted the right to disregard a state law that was held to be in conflict with the state constitution. A Pennsylvania law divesting one person of property and vesting it in another without compensation, according to Justice William Paterson, was inconsistent with the "inherent and unalienable rights of man." Paterson also viewed the Pennsylvania law as a violation of the sanctity of contracts as guaranteed by the state constitution and the Constitution of the United States, and therefore declared it unconstitutional and void. - <http://www.answers.com/topic/vanhorne-s-lessee-v-dorrance>

- **William Paterson** (December 24, 1745 – September 9, 1806) was a New Jersey statesman, a signer of the United States Constitution, and Associate Justice of the United States Supreme Court, who served as the 2nd governor of New Jersey, from 1790 to 1793.

William Paterson was born on December 24, 1745, in County Antrim, in Ireland, moved to what is the United States at age 2, and entered the College of New Jersey (now Princeton University) at age 14. After graduating, he studied law with the prominent lawyer Richard Stockton and was admitted to the bar in 1768.

Paterson became an outspoken supporter of American independence. He was selected as Somerset County, New Jersey delegate for the first three provincial congresses of New Jersey, where as secretary he recorded the 1776 New Jersey State Constitution.

After Independence, Paterson was appointed as the first Attorney General of New Jersey, serving from 1776-1783, maintaining law and order and establishing himself as one of the state's most prominent lawyers. He was sent to the 1787 Constitutional Convention in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania where he proposed the New Jersey Plan for a unicameral legislative body with equal representation from each state. After the Great Compromise (for two legislative bodies: a Senate with equal representation for each state, and a House of Representatives with representation based on population), the Constitution was signed.

He served as Governor of New Jersey and in the New Jersey Senate, where he oversaw the revision and codification of the entire state legal system. George Washington appointed him associate justice of the United States Supreme Court in 1793, where he served until his death on September 9, 1806 in Albany, New York, aged 60. He is buried in Albany Rural Cemetery in Albany.

Paterson, New Jersey, and William Paterson University are named after him - [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Paterson_\(jurist\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/William_Paterson_(jurist))

- **The following is an outline of a historic private property case ruled on by U.S. Supreme Court Justice William Patterson, an appointee of George Washington.**
 - **" Justice Patterson then read the 1st, 8th and 11th articles of the Declaration of Rights and the 9th and 47th sections of the Constitution of Pennsylvania."** <http://press-pubs.uchicago.edu/founders/documents/v1ch16s24.html>

(The following are exact extracts from this site taken from this case.)

- "From these passages it is evident;
 1. that the right of acquiring and possessing property, and having it protected,
 2. is one of the natural, inherent, and unalienable rights of man.
 3. Property is necessary to their subsistence, and correspondent to their natural wants and desires,
 4. its (property) security was one of the objects, that induced them to unite in society.
 5. No man would become a member of a community, in which he could not enjoy the fruits of his honest labour...
 6. The preservation of property then is a primary object of the social compact
 7. and by the late Constitution of Pennsylvania, was made a fundamental law."
 8. ...no one can be called upon to surrender or sacrifice his whole property, real and personal for the good of the community without receiving a recompense in value.
 9. This would be laying a burden upon an individual which ought to be sustained by the society at large.
 10. English history does not furnish an instance of the kind; the Parliament, with all their boasted omnipotence, never committed such an outrage on private property and if they had, it would have served only to display the dangerous nature of unlimited authority...
 11. Such an act would be a monster in legislation and shock all mankind.
 12. The legislature, therefore, had no authority to make an act divesting one citizen of his freehold, and vesting it in another, without a just compensation.
 13. It is inconsistent with the principles of reason, justice, and moral rectitude, it is incompatible with the comfort, peace and happiness of mankind; it is contrary to the principles of social alliance in every free government and lastly, it is congruity both to the letter and spirit of the Constitution.
 14. **In short, it is what every one would think unreasonable and unjust in his own case.**
 15. The next step in the line of progression is, whether the legislature had authority to make an act, divesting one citizen of his freehold and vesting it in another, even with compensation
 16. ... but they have no power to add to, alter, abolish or infringe any part of this Constitution.

17. The despotic power, as it aptly called by some writers, of taking private property,cannot be lodged anywhere with so much safety as with the Legislature.
18. It is however, difficult to form a case, in which the necessity of a state can be of such a nature, as to authorize or excuse the seizing of landed property belonging to one citizen, and giving it to another citizen.
19. It is immaterial to the state, in which of its citizens the land is vested; but it is of primary importance, that, when vested, it should be secured, and the proprietor protected in the enjoyment of it.

20. **The constitution encircles, and renders it a holy thing.**

21. It cannot be assimilated to the case of personal property taken or used in time of war or famine or other extreme necessity;
22. it cannot be assimilated to the temporary possession of land itself, on a pressing public emergence, or the spur of the occasion.
23. The constitution expressly declares, that the right of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property is natural, inherent, and unalienable.
 - **"Unalienable:** incapable of being alienated, that is, sold and transferred." **Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, page 1523:**

<http://www.gemworld.com/USA-Unalienable.htm>

24. It is a right not ex gratia (out of kindness or grace) from the legislature,
25. but ex debito from the constitution
26. Where is the security, where the inviolability of property, if the legislature, by a private act, affecting particular persons ONLY, can take land from one citizen, who acquired it legally, and vest it in another?

27. **It is sacred**


28. for, it is further declared that the legislature shall have no power to add to, alter, abolish, or infringe any part of, the constitution.
29. **The constitution is the origin and measure of legislative authority.**
30. It says to legislators, thus far ye shall go and no further.
31. ***The rights of private property are regulated protected, and governed by general, known, and established tribunals; laws and tribunals NOT made and created on an instant exigency, on an urgent emergency, to serve a present turn, or the interest of a moment.***

ARTICLE VI. OF THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATE OF AMERICA

This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all

Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

V. Herein follows 27 additional government encroachments taking our private property



<http://mwhodges.home.att.net/energy/energy-b.htm>

ELECTRIC CHOICES

Deregulation and the 'Future of Electric Power

Electricity is one of the biggest industries in the the U.S. economy, with sales exceeding \$200 billion annually. While electricity represents the the backbone of commerce, industry, and household production, the structure of the industry has been changing in rather dramatic ways. After being heavily regulated for more than a century by local, state, regional and federal authorities, deregulation is taking center center stage.


In general, deregulation results in lower prices, more product choices, and more rapid technological advances. Conversely, rate regulation has inherent flaws, including the encouragement of waste and inefficiency, and a retarding of innovation. There is little doubt to the contributors of this book that putting regulation aside offers enormous efficiency gains in the production of electricity.

But can forces handle the delicate matter of transmitting electricity when the simple model of supply and demand must be more precise than that of other goods and services? How much regulation does the electric industry need? *Electric Choices* explores these difficult questions and proposes a new, market-based plan to improve America's electrical future.

http://www.independent.org/store/book_detail.asp?bookID=66



1. There is no such thing as "alternative/renewable/sustaining energy" - this is not economically nor commercially viable

- There is only fossil fuel or nuclear energy that is economically and commercially viable
- Note the resurgence of uranium mining in Moab, UT <http://www.healutah.org/news/energysolutions/071607>
- All other current forms of energy are uneconomical takings, created by green political science, i.e. junk science for power & money.
- Green energy cannot exist in the free market on its own
- Green energy is a net negative investment, i.e. it cannot generate commercial power or a return to investors in the free market
- Green energy only benefits government politicians, certain green businesses and the green extreme groups.
- Government needs to get out of private sector business and let the market create energy vs. ignorant pandering green politicians
- "...the Depression wasn't about a virtuous government and bad business people. Rather, it was about people in office competing with the private sector for power. Much of the struggle described in the book (*The Forgotten Man*) literally inhered in the power business: utilities."
- "There's something about power that attracts strong people. And of course the government wins and the private sector loses in the form of the Tennessee Valley Authority, which was created in 1933." "*The Forgotten Man*"
- "The government is like a lobster. It will eat anything, it wants to survive, it will compete with anything, and it can be a cannibal." Amity Shlaes, "*The Forgotten Man*"



2. There is no such thing as "man caused global warming" - warming is not man caused + we are in an epochal cooling trend

- There is only natural change in global temperature not caused by man
 - http://mysite.verizon.net/mhieb/WVFossils/global_warming.html
- All other "warming" is unconstitutional takings, created by green politicians, green businesses and green extreme extreme groups.
 - i.e. junk science for power, money and votes.
 - e.g. Al Gore's global warming propaganda to put America energy and standard of living under UN control control
 - e.g. there is no such thing as the Greenland & Antarctica Melting
 - http://hallolinden-db.de/baseportal?htx=/hallolinden-db.de/Klima/Klima&cmd=list&range=0,100&Datum=* &cmd=all&Id=1680
 - e.g. "Their observation that 240,000 years of ice core data show CO2 concentrations increasing after Earth's temperature increases, and not before, is impressive. So also is the reported lag time of 800 years. Could it be that the sea, which holds a great deal of the Earth's CO2, releases some of its store when ocean temperatures rise? Man's contribution to CO2 concentrations in the atmosphere may not be important after all."
 - http://www.americanfreepress.net/html/earth_s_warming_cooling.html
- **Skeptical Scientist Urge World to Have Courage to Do Nothing at UN conference -**
 - http://epw.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Minority.Blogs&ContentRecord_id=c9554887-802a-802a-23ad-4303-68f67ebd151c
 - Also see attached email



Credit to www.ti.org

3. There is no such thing as "wet lands" - except in the minds of environmental & government extremists

- There is only the natural rise and fall of the ground water and rainfall and snow melt
- All land is wet and/or dry at some time throughout recent and geological time

- Man is perfectly capable of building on any type of land wet or dry
- The hydraulics of water go around man
- Labeling private property wet to take or regulate private property is unconstitutional and created by green politicians
- i.e. junk science for power, money and votes.
- 77% of Americans live on 2.6% of the land
- Farms and Open Space account for 97.5% of our land



Credit to www.ti.org

4. There is no such thing as "sustainable development or smart growth" in a free society


- There is only the free market which makes the optimum decisions within our constitution, natural and common common laws.
- All other takings of private and public land by force are unconstitutional , created by green socialist politicians, politicians,
- This is government junk science used to rat pack cities for revenue
- "Sprawl" is an exodus from urban tyranny and the solution not the problem
- <http://www.vlrc.org/articles/181.html>



Credit to www.ti.org

5. There is no such thing as "critical areas" - it is only critical for urban extremists for their own revenue

- There is only private and public property as defined by the constitution
- Labeling some areas "critical" is an unconstitutional taking of private and public property, created by green politicians,
- Government junk science is used to steal private & public property.
- There is no such thing as "open space" and "Growth Management Act" and "Public Benefit Rating System"
 - These are more unconstitutional illegitimate acts of government takings of private property by duress and deception
 - Government has no rights to force tax upon us, let alone use our money to restrict our rights to use our own own land and homes
 - Neither executive nor high court nor the legislature nor any body of men or women can take away inalienable rights.




6. There is no such thing as man caused "endangered species" - 99% are extinct naturally & 99.994% listed remain extinct

- There is only a natural decline of species
- Only .006 of the total species listed as "endangered have made it off the list, i.e. 99.994% of those listed remain listed remain extinct.
- And this is at a massive cost to Americans including their lives and private property, i.e. homes and land and wages
- Over 99% of species are extinct naturally, (The History Channel 11-11-2007 and numerous other sources)
- The Spotted Owl was a green ruse created by green government and non government to shut down our public and private forests,
- The Spotted Owl was going extinct due to a predator owl not man and it is a sub- species of an existing Mexican Mexican spotted owl
- ESA is more about government and non government junk science to illegitimately take private and public land than aiding speices.
- The cascading effects of ESA are causing our forests, homes and lands to burn that could have been saved by common sense clearing
- Rachel Carson's book "Silent Spring" was a hoax, her claims were never scientifically validated, i.e. junk science <http://www.lewrockwell.com/rockwell/silent-spring.html>
- Taking America - <http://takingliberty.us/TLHome.html>
- Background Extinction Rate - http://www.earth.rochester.edu/ees207/Mass_Ext/higgins_mass2.html



Credit to www.ti.org

Change in Congestion, 1982 to 1999




Credit to www.ti.org


7. There is no such thing as "rapid transit/mass transit" - it is not economically viable


- It is more theft of private property by the cities, counties and states to fund their own pockets
- There is only the free market and free choice of transpiration
- All other state transportation is illegitimate takings, created by social engineering political science, i.e. government junk science to rat pack cities & its coffers for revenue.
- Light Rail is 8 times the cost of one freeway lane and handles only 1/3 the passengers.
- www.ti.org

U.S. EDUCATION PRODUCTIVITY



Math & Science Literacy
3rd International Test Series





8. There is no such thing as "entitlement" programs - it's forced charity and unconstitutional

- There is only the "welfare" provided by free people choosing to VOLUNTARILY donate via church, charity, foundations or individually.
- The constitution does not provide for forced welfare entitlements.
- All state entitlements are unconstitutional, fraudulent, extortion and larceny committed by congress against individual sovereignty.
- "The Social Security Swindle" Irwin Schiff
- Government intervention is the cause of our problems not the solution
 - Ten Year Death Rates For Medical Intervention
 - Condition vs. 10-Year Deaths
 1. Adverse Drug Reaction 1.06 million
 2. Medical error 0.98 million
 3. Bedsores 1.15 million
 4. Nosocomial Infection 0.88 million
 5. Malnutrition 1.09 million
 6. Outpatients 1.99 million
 7. Unnecessary Procedures 371,360
 8. Surgery-related 320,000
 9. TOTAL 7,841,360 (7.8 million)
 - ".. projected 7.8 million iatrogenic deaths is more than all the casualties from wars that America has fought in its entire history.
 - Our projected figures for unnecessary medical events occurring over a 10-year period are also dramatic. dramatic.
 - TEN-YEAR STATISTICS FOR UNNECESSARY INTERVENTION
 - Unnecessary Events vs. 10-year Number Iatrogenic Events
 1. Hospitalization 89 million 17 million
 2. Procedures 75 million 15 million
 3. TOTAL 164 million
- Medical Madness - <http://www.americanchronicle.com/articles/viewArticle.asp?articleID=8191>
- Government social legislation, administration and judication;
 - takes away individual responsibility to learn how to make the best of any given situation in life
 - destroys the spirit of an individual by removing their risk of not performing
 - enables more takings of life, liberty, private property and joy and suffering
 - without suffering we can not know true happiness, i.e. they go together
 - Funding for government social entitlement is taken by force thereby soiling the very intent of the act
 - Charity can come only from free choice with love and kindness not from tyrannical government stealing from A giving to B
 - We can only help each other through voluntary charity, free choice and love

Supplies from England were intended to sustain the colonists and to support enterprises which would profit investors. They generally included:

- 12 or 18 months of food staples, familiar from the ship's diet: salted meat, peas, oats, meal, butter, and aqua vitae
- Clothing and shoes
- Seeds and tools for farming and gardening
- Cattle and poultry to breed in the colony
- Boats, hooks, lines, and nets for fishing
- Weaponry for hunting, fowling, and defense
- Goods to trade with Native Peoples
- Household items



Photo J. Venrick Plymouth Rock, Plymouth, Mass.



Photo J. Venrick Plymouth Rock, Mass. on the Mayflower

9. The Pilgrims' Failed Experiment - entitlements and collectivism do not work

- "It is vital to know, however, that the institution of property rights in America was helped along by a failed communist order.
- The Pilgrims, for the first two years after landing at Plymouth Rock in 1620, lived under a system of

property.

- The people, by decree, warehoused all that was produced, and the authorities distributed the stocks of according to their discretion.
- Dismal harvests and two consecutive winters of hunger prompted Governor William Bradford, after much to assign every family a parcel of land, according to the number of family members.
- Substantial productivity gains resulted.
- All family members willingly began to work the land, whereas before privatization citizens " would allege and inability."
- Bradford described the lesson learned in no uncertain terms:
- From Bradford's statement and what is known about the development of British America, it can be inferred the colonists learned to value private property, individuality, and self-sufficiency, and preferred this to any other. This preference and its institutionalization made America's Revolutionary War different from revolutions.
- The American founders, however, " wished neither to construct a new society nor to perfect the old. They merely to found a regime that would protect society from its own ambitions, leaving men free to find their own way in the world."
- Friedman's observation captures the essence of America's original conception of liberty: sovereignty of the individual and the sanctity of property rights.
- This conception, however, has undergone a dramatic mutation that is disintegrating the foundation of the States' Constitutional heritage, the heritage that has made America the most prosperous nation in history, a heritage former Communist countries now covet.
- It is time to restate and reassert the West's ideal of liberty. Only through a re-examination of the Founders' Constitution can the United States restore the ideals that have made it the leader of the free world.
- Natural law is the real foundation for the "unalienable rights" listed in the Declaration of Independence: "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." Equal opportunity is not on the list. Nor is it implied. is implied is the concept of property.
- Happiness, as the Founders conceived it, resulted from the individual independence and self sufficiency achieved by the accumulation of property."
- Source: Breathe Deep, America, While Liberty Is in the Air by Arthur P. Hall, II - <http://www.theadvocates.org/freeman/920404.html>

10. There is no such thing as the "Federal Reserve Act" - it is unconstitutional

- This act was passed illegitimately without a constitutional amendment during the Christmas congressional break of 1913
- This act is unconstitutional
- The Federal Reserve is not "Federal" nor is there a "Reserve"
- Centralized banking is unconstitutional, fraudulent and an international monopoly
- The existing banking system does not operate in a free market
 1. "The Creature From Jekyll Island", G. Edwards Griffin
 2. "America: Freedom To Fascism", Aaron Russo <http://www.restoretherepublic.com/>

3. Uncountable growing credible sources

- **Who Is Running America** - <http://www.barefootworld.net/usfraud.html> (This article & site is a must read to understand what happened)
- *"The Independent Treasury Act of 1920 suspended the de jure (meaning "by right of legal establishment") Treasury Department of the United States government. Our Congress turned the treasury department over to a private corporation.... the Federal Reserve and their agents. The bulk of the ownership of the Federal Reserve System, a very well kept secret from the American Citizen, is held by these banking interests, and NONE is held by the United States Treasury:*

*Rothschild Bank of London
Rothschild Bank of Berlin
Warburg Bank of Hamburg
Warburg Bank of Amsterdam
Lazard Brothers of Paris
Israel Moses Seif Banks of Italy
Chase Manhattan Bank of New York
Goldman, Sachs of New York
Lehman Brothers of New York
Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York*

The Federal Reserve is at the root of most of our present statutory regulations, "laws", in the control and regulation of virtually all aspects of human activity in the United States, through successively socialistic constructions laid upon the Commerce clause of the Constitution. Basically, the Federal Reserve is the "STATE" of the United States. " <http://www.barefootworld.net/usfraud.html>

11. There is no such thing as a "16th Amendment" (Income Tax) - it was not fully ratified & income income is not wages


1. The "income tax" Amendment was never legitimately ratified by 3/4 of the then 48 states
 - <http://www.givemeliberty.org/features/taxes/notratified.htm>
2. This act is unconstitutional
3. This "income tax" Amendment was meant to target super rich CAPITAL GAIN & PROFIT ONLY who had taken political control
4. This "income tax" Amendment was never meant to become a tax on private property including wages
5. "Supreme Law Firm Founder Paul A. Mitchell spent 16 years investigating U.S. Constitution, federal statute laws & important court cases.
 - He litigated important cases including the case of People v. Boxer which established that the so called 16th Amendment was a massive fraud upon the American People.
 - U.S. Senator Barbara Boxer fell totally silent in the face of Mitchell's pleadings in that case.
6. *"The provisions of the Sixteenth Amendment conferred no new power of taxation . . ."*
 - United States Supreme Court, Stanton v. Baltic Mining Co., 240 U.S. 103 (1916)
7. *"The Supreme Court, in a decision written by Chief Justice White, first noted that the Sixteenth Amendment did not authorize any new type of tax, nor did it repeal or revoke the tax clauses of Article I of the Constitution, quoted above. Direct taxes were, notwithstanding the advent of the Sixteenth Amendment, still subject to the rule of apportionment and indirect taxes were still subject to the rule of uniformity."*
 - Howard M. Zaritsky, Legislative Attorney, American Law Division of the Library of Congress, Report No. 80-19A, entitled "Some Constitutional Questions Regarding The Federal Income Tax Laws", page CRS-5 (1979)

12. The original 13th Amendment was ratified but left out of the constitution - guess why

- "If any citizen of the United States shall accept, claim, receive, or retain any title of nobility or honour, or shall

shall without the consent of Congress, accept and retain any present, pension, office, or emolument of any kind kind whatever, from any emperor, king, prince, or foreign power, such person shall cease to e a citizen of the United States, and shall be incapable of holding any office of trust or profit under them, or either of them"
Journal of The Senate, Page 508

- You can read about how this happen here <http://www.amendment-13.org/>
- Another source <http://www.freedomdomain.com/sovcit.htm>
 1. "One thing that is VERY IMPORTANT is the ISSUE of the ORIGINAL 13th AMENDMENT!!
What if you found out that there was a hidden Amendment to the Constitution that was so devastating that the LAWYERS HAD TO BURY IT SECRETLY?? What if it could be proven that every law passed by congress as well as every session has been VOID because the lawmakers themselves were forbidden from holding office and had actually LOST THEIR CITIZENSHIP? This would not be a pretty thought having gone this far into our present society. But does time make a VOID ACT Lawful? The answer is NO!! Read More about the Original 13th Amendment below!! "
- The Original 13th Amentment - http://www.pushhamburger.com/13th_ame.htm



<http://www.corporations.org/media/>

World Press Freedom Index 2007 - The rankings

1	Iceland	0,75	—
-	Norway	0,75	↑
3	Estonia	1,00	↑
-	Slovakia	1,00	↑
5	Belgium	1,50	↑
-	Finland	1,50	↑
-	Sweden	1,50	↑
8	Denmark	2,00	↑
-	Ireland	2,00	↓
-	Portugal	2,00	=
11	Switzerland	3,00	↓
12	Latvia	3,50	↓
-	Netherlands	3,50	↓
14	Czech Republic	4,00	↓
15	New Zealand	4,17	↓
16	Austria	4,25	↓
17	Hungary	4,50	↓
18	Canada	4,88	↓
19	Trinidad and Tobago	5,00	=
20	Germany	5,75	↑
21	Costa Rica	6,50	↑
-	Slovenia	6,50	↓
23	Lithuania	7,00	↑
24	United Kingdom	8,25	↑
25	Mauritius	8,50	↑
-	Namibia	8,50	=
27	Jamaica	8,63	↓
28	Australia	8,79	↑
29	Ghana	9,00	↑
30	Greece	9,25	↓
31	France	9,75	↑
32	Taiwan	10,00	↑
33	Spain	10,25	↑
34	Bosnia and Herzegovina	11,17	↓
35	Italy	11,25	↑
36	Macedonia	11,50	↑
37	Japan	11,75	↑
-	Uruguay	11,75	↑
39	Chile	12,13	↑
-	South Korea	12,13	↓
41	Croatia	12,50	↑
42	Romania	12,75	↑
43	South Africa	13,00	↑
44	Israel (Israeli territory)	13,25	↑
45	Cape Verde	14,00	=
-	Cyprus	14,00	↑
47	Nicaragua	14,25	↑
48	United States of America	14,50	↑

Reporters Without Borders - World press freedom ranking 2007

http://www.rsf.org/IMG/pdf/index_2007_en.pdf

13. There is no such thing as a "free press" in America

- *"The sad fact is that Americans no longer have a free press. A free press was an early legacy of the Revolution against British Mercantilism that achieved the independence of the American colonies, but soon this system too would be corrupted and collapse into Statist meddling and control in favor of special interests. "*
<http://www.lewrockwell.com/young/young28.html>
- *"In 1983, 50 corporations controlled the vast majority of all news media in the U.S."*
- *"In 2004, Bagdikian's revised and expanded book, The New Media Monopoly, shows that only 5 huge corporations -- Time Warner, Disney, Murdoch's News Corporation, Bertelsmann of Germany, and Viacom (formerly CBS) -- now control most of the media industry in the U.S. General Electric's NBC is a close sixth".*
- Note the United States is last in the list of World Press Freedom Index above
- America's Five Media Dynasties - <http://www.forbes.com/2002/05/20/0520mediadynasties.html>
- The largest 6 media companies in the world - <http://www.newint.org/issue333/facts.htm>
- *"There is no such thing at this date of the world's history in America as an independent press. You know it, and I know it. There is not one of you who dares to write his honest opinion, and if you did, you know beforehand it would never appear in print. I am paid weekly for keeping my honest opinion out of the paper. Others of you are paid similar salaries for similar things, and any of you who would be so foolish as to write honest opinions*

would be out on the streets looking for another job. If I allow my honest opinions to appear in one issue of my paper, before 24 hours, my occupation would be gone. The business of the journalist is to destroy the truth, to lie outright, to pervert, to vilify, to fawn at the feet of Mammon and to sell his country and his race for his daily bread. You know it, and I know it, and what folly is this toasting an independent press? We are the tools and the vassals of rich men behind the scenes. We are the jumping jacks. They pull the strings, and we dance. Our talents, our possibilities and our lives are all the property of other men. We are intellectual prostitutes."

- John Swinden, head of the New York Times, when asked to toast an independent press in a gathering at the National Press Club

- *NPR radio can only survive through federal grants and it is not targeted for more restrictions through taking legislation "Fairness Act" http://www.mrc.org/SpecialReports/2007/pbsreport/report1031_exec.asp*
- *This is why the world wide web is quickly shifting the light of truth upon the centuries of oppression, takings and and darkness*

14. There is no such thing as a "federal mandatory income tax" - there is no law plus it is unconstitutional

- **The federal mandatory income tax is unconstitutional**
- **The IRS cannot show the law that requires Americans to file a 1040 - <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aroN2uRbIMc&feature=related>**
- **The U.S. Supreme court has repeatedly ruled that wages are private property and wages cannot be be taxed**
-
- **The lower courts and congress ignore these high court rulings**
-
- **The constitution does not give the federal government authority to tax outside of Delaware and the U.S. Territories**
-
- **The federal government only has jurisdiction over federal property that it owns coming under Article 1, Section 8, Clause 17**
-
- **"Direct federal taxes and rights are mutually exclusive. " The Federal Zone**
-
- **"...once people have rights, they become sovereign and at that point, it becomes impossible for the federal government under the Constitutional protections to encroach on those rights by trying trying to collect taxes because direct taxes must be apportioned to each state..." "The Federal Zone" - <http://www.supremelaw.org/fedzone11/>**
-
- **"Only areas where there are NO CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS are:**
 1. **U.S. Territories (Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, American Samoa, etc)**
 2. **and Indian reservations**
 3. **where direct taxes under Subtitle A be applied to individuals without apportionment and**
 4. **without violation of 1:9:4 and 1:2:3 of the Constitution." The Federal Zone - <http://www.supremelaw.org/fedzone11/>**
-
- **"EVERYPLACE ELSE, ISN'T A TAX, BUT A DONATION" The Federal Zone**

<http://www.supremelaw.org/fedzone11/>


-
- **"Our tax system is based upon voluntary assessment and payment, not upon distraint", Flora v. U.S., 362 US145**
-
- **When you sign the 1040 form, you give up your voluntary rights to not be taxed.**
 - i.e. you voluntarily agree to be taxed.
 - Check it out for yourself - <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-540302368760724158&chl=en>
-
- **"Is It Time To Celebrate The Coming Demise Of The Income Tax?" - http://www.freedom-force.org/freedomcontent.cfm?force.org/freedomcontent.cfm?fuseaction=incometax_reform&refpage=issues**
- **As Established in the U.S. Constitution, the federal government cannot directly tax a citizen living within one of the States of the Union.**
 - As established in the U.S. Constitution, the federal government cannot directly tax a citizen living within one of the the States of the Union. Citizens possess rights; these rights cannot be converted to privileges by government. The only individuals who would *not* have these rights and would therefore be liable to regulation by government are NONRESIDENT ALIENS doing business and working within the United States or receiving domestic source profits from investments, and United States citizens working in a foreign country and taxable under treaties between between the two governments. http://www.the7thfire.com/debt_elimination/eliminate_tax_liens.htm
- **The US Constitution does not allow the federal government to use either direct or indirect taxes to taxes to tax citizens directly**
 - The Constitution gives the Congress the power to lay and collect taxes to pay the debts of the government and to provide for the common defense and general welfare of the United States. Congress is only permitted to levy two types of taxes.
 1. DIRECT TAXES, which are subject to the rule of apportionment among the states of the Union.
 2. INDIRECT TAXES -- imposts, duties and excises, subject to the rule of uniformity.

The US Constitution does not allow the federal government to use either of the two classifications to tax CITIZENS CITIZENS or PERMANENT RESIDENT ALIENS of the United States of America, DIRECTLY. The intent of the Founders was to keep the government the servant and to prevent it from becoming the master. (See Article 1, section section 2, clause 3 of the U.S. Constitution.)

Remember that a United States Citizen is a citizen of the District of Columbia and all Federal possessions. This is different from a Citizen of one of the united States of America. Sovereign citizens of sovereign states sent representatives to the Constitutional Convention who the laid the framework for a constitutional republic, a federal federal government constrained by the Constitution and the Bill of Rights to act within the powers expressly delegated to it. The Federal Government was a servant of the people, not their master.
http://www.the7thfire.com/debt_elimination/eliminate_tax_liens.htm
- **The federal government cannot directly tax a citizen living within one of the states of the Union. Union.**
 - As established in the U.S. Constitution, the federal government cannot directly tax a citizen living within one of the the states of the Union. Citizens possess rights; these rights cannot be converted to privileges by government. The only individuals who would *not* have these rights and would therefore be liable to regulation by government are NONRESIDENT ALIENS doing business and working within the United States or receiving domestic source profits

profits from investments, and United States citizens working in a foreign country and taxable under treaties between the two governments. http://www.the7thfire.com/debt_elimination/eliminate_tax_liens.htm

- "...the requirement to pay [excise] taxes involves the exercise of privilege."
 - United States Supreme Court, *Flint vs. Stone Tracy Co.* 220 U.S. 107 (1911)
 - **So, the tax is an excise on the exercise of privilege, not a tax on money...**



15. There is no such thing as "taxes on wages" - this tax is unconstitutional

- The U.S. Supreme court has repeatedly ruled that wages are private property and wages cannot be taxed
- Wages are also another form of private property
- There is only taxes on indirect sources, e.g. customs, excise, duties
- How many ways do we say no property taxes <http://www.taxfoundation.org/blog/topic/89.html>
- **"The Founding Fathers meant direct taxes to be apportioned AND VOLUNTARY as in gifts."**
 - **"In England direct taxes were viewed as GIFTS during the colonial era. "**
 - **"In early modern France, taxes voted by provincial estates were deemed "FREE GIFTS" (dons gratuits)"**
 - **"This attitude prevailed in colonial America"**
 - **"In ancient Athens taxes were considered a hallmark of tyranny; Athens citizens were exempt from**

- them."
 - "The city-state (Athens) financed itself from incomes of public properties, e.g. silver mines, harbor tax, other indirect taxes."
 - "Historical evidence in the Western world since classical antiquity to the twentieth century was direct taxation is regarded as unlawful."
 - "Governments were expected in peacetime to be self supporting."
 - Quoted from "Property and Freedom", Richard Pipes, Baird Research Professor, History Harvard University, pg. 237.
- Death taxes are taxes on private property and unconstitutional
- Washington State Supreme Court declares citizen approved state income tax UNCONSTITUTIONAL on Sept. 8, 1933. http://www.historylink.org/essays/output.cfm?file_id=5726
- All other taxes are takings, created by corrupt government and non government groups for their own power.
 - <http://www.freeenterprisesociety.com/>
- All taxes on wages only go to pay the interest on the debt to the central bankers
 - Grace Commission
 - "Creature From Jekyll Island"
 - "The Great Income Tax Hoax"
 - "The Biggest Con"
 - "The Power To Destroy"
- Their is no such thing as taxes on rental income
 - http://query.nytimes.com/mem/archive-free/pdf?_r=1&res=9E04E4DC133DE433A2575AC0A9629C94649ED7CF&oref=slogin
- Here are nine people who have won their cases http://livefreenow.org/2007_Justice_Peace_and_Freedom_Conference.cfm
- *"It has been well said that 'the property which every man has in his own labor, as it is the original foundation of all other property, so it is the most sacred and inviolable. The patrimony of the poor man lies in the strength and dexterity of his own hands, and to hinder his employing this strength and dexterity in what manner he thinks proper, without injury to his neighbor, is a plain violation of this most sacred property'."*
United States Supreme Court, Butcher's Union Co. v. Crescent City Co., 111 U.S. 746 (1883)

Tax Watch

Winter 2007



Homeowners' Burden: Property Taxes on the Rise Across America

One of the best signs of a healthy economy is rising home values. When times are good, renters aspire to become homeowners, and homeowners vie for bigger, newer homes that accommodate their prosperity.

During the economic boom of the 1990s, personal income growth outpaced property tax growth in nearly every year. But since the bursting of the stock market bubble in 2000 and the recession that followed, annual increases in property tax


But along with rising home values comes a rise in the hidden cost of homeownership—state and local property taxes.

Polls from the Tax Foundation consistently show property taxes are among the most disliked state and local taxes. Last year, 39 percent of Americans said property taxes were the "least fair" state and local tax, compared to just 20 percent who said income taxes and 18 percent who named sales taxes.

In the wake of the recent housing boom, property taxes have skyrocketed. According to a new Tax Foundation study, property taxes rose to record levels around the country in recent years.

"Property tax collections have grown faster than any other major tax source over the past five years," said Gerald Prante, an economist who studies property taxes at the Tax Foundation.

America's Rising Property Tax Burden



bills have far exceeded peoples' income growth. While personal incomes have grown steadily in recent years, housing prices have exploded.

The new Tax Foundation study found that property taxes were highest in the Northeast, Texas, Illinois, and Wisconsin. New York and New Jersey dominate the list of high-tax counties.


continued on page 6

- Message from the President **2**
- Americans Benefit from Tax Relief **3**
- Inflation and Capital Gains Taxes **4**
- Gross Receipts Taxes Making a Comeback? **5**
- Making Taxes Simple; Looking Ahead; From the Archives **7**
- New Tax Foundation Team Members; Our Economists in the News **8**

<http://taxfoundation.org/files/taxwatch-winter2007.pdf>

Property Taxes As a % of Total State Taxes



■ Property Taxes As a % of Total State Taxes



Charted from data in <http://www.taxfoundation.org/research/show/244.html>

16. There is no such thing as "private property taxes" - this tax is unconstitutional

- There are only taxes on indirect sources, e.g. customs, excise, duties
- All other forms of forced taxes are unconstitutional and illegitimate
- Private property owners have been conned into paying property taxes
- Property taxes are regressive and unnecessary to fund government & schools
- Texas Supreme Court Rules Property Tax Unconstitutional -
 - http://www.jail4judges.org/JNJ_Library/2005/2005-11-23.html
- New Hampshire Superior Court judge rules statewide property tax is unconstitutional -
 - <http://www.concordmonitor.com/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20051025/REPOSITORY/510250308/1001/NEWS01>
- Pennsylvania judge declares Pennsylvania Property Tax Assessments Unconstitutional -
 - <http://www.pittsburghhomedaily.com/2007/06/06/pennsylvania-property-tax-assessments-unconstitutional/>
- Indiana General Assembly Bi-partisan group files bills making property taxes unconstitutional
 - http://www.in.gov/legislative/senate_republicans/newsroom/1-17-07.htm
 - <http://stopindiana.com/default.aspx>
- Washington State property tax is a regressive tax -
 - http://www.historylink.org/essays/output.cfm?file_id=5726
- The Un-American Unconstitutional Property Tax
 - <http://www.geocities.com/northstarzone/PTAX.html>
- The Coming Property Tax Battle -
 - <http://recenter.tamu.edu/TGrande/vol9-1/1544.html>



17. There is no such thing as state funded "education" using taxes on private property - this is unconstitutional

a. Education can only be funded:

- via uniform indirect taxes
- via apportioned voluntary direct taxes
- privately & voluntarily
- home based and funded voluntarily
- or all of the above

b. Education cannot be funded by force against any private property owner

c. The mess created by state education

- Free Speech has disappeared from American campuses - <http://www.americanthinker.com/>
- Classroom teacher bias by a student blogger - <http://www.psaf.org/archive/2004/August/OperTigerClaw.html>
-
- Indoctrination Parading as History - <http://www.psaf.org/frames/main2.html>
-
- How it all started - <http://www.freedom-force.org/pdf/futurecalling2.pdf>
-
- Learning in Freedom is Growing - <http://learninfreedom.org/>
 - *"Today, more than one million home schooled children in the United States and tens of thousands of other around the world are learning outside of school. Now that homeschooling is growing worldwide, the real-experiences of learners show that schools are not always necessary for learning. Particularly, socialization confirms that young people can grow up more mature and poised than their age-peers if they avoid the age characteristic of almost all schools. Licensed professionals in the field of language development of children parental interaction with children is indispensable for children learning to talk, so it is no surprise that interaction with children is necessary for other aspects of child development as well. Your children can home, as my son and tens of thousands of children in home schooling families already have. Even schools of education that are selective about admitting adult learners are happy to admit applicants who never their childhood, so the number of colleges that admit homeschoolers has grown to more than 1,000 colleges five countries. "*
- Public Schools - Public Prisons <http://www.newswithviews.com/Turtel/joel.htm>
- "The Dept. of Education grows now at a rate much faster than the Dept. of Defense even in a time of war." - http://www.hillsdale.edu/images/userImages/mschonert/Page_4221/2007_11_Imprimis.pdf
- "The longer they are in school, the lower they fall" - ibid
- "the subsidies and outside interests that control education make both of them worse." - ibid
- Why Our Schools Should be Privately Financed - <http://www.ercouncil.org/>
- By Professor Dennis O'Keeffe
Comparative Advantage Series - Paper 3

The UK's educational status quo is dire; millions cannot read, write or count and millions more can do so only only barely. The causes of our low standards lie in state-directed and financed education, which accounts for 93% of our education system. Meanwhile, the continuing inflation in private school fees (3 times the rate of inflation 1985-2005 or 103% in these two decades and hitting an annual rate of 6% in 2006, according to the Halifax) suggests two points;

i) That Private Schools work better than government schools


ii) That there aren't enough of them

- Bring in small, cheap, private schools with generous, tax concessions by government and/or reliance on private philanthropy at nursery, primary and secondary levels
- Reintroduce the 11 plus on a voluntary basis
- Reintroduce grammar schools, perhaps without catchment areas
- Close down much of the education bureaucracy and ...cut their regulations to a minimum
- Lower the school leaving age and reintroduce commercially based apprenticeships
- Decentralize drastically the remuneration of teachers
- Encourage prestigious schools like Eton and Harrow & other distinguished places to offer more scholarships & to open up lower-price wings

18. There is no such thing as a "state sales tax" upon consumers

- The tax is upon retailers not upon individuals
- <http://www.originalintent.org/edu/salestax.php>
- The states must abide by the U.S. Constitution of uniform indirect taxes and apportioned voluntary direct taxes
- Ultimately all taxes are paid by We The People
- Businesses are only transfer agents of uniform indirect taxes to the end buyers
- Currently tax has been obfuscated into many taxes with many names most are unconstitutional
- Current taxation is an avalanche of unconditional takings many times over on the backs of the wage earner and property owner
- The wage earner cannot be directly and indirectly taxed multiple times as is presently done
- Especially when it is used to pay more for government employees than private sector (Tyranny at its best)
 - <http://www.tri-cityherald.com/tch/opinions/columns/story/9417554p-9330241c.html>

Figure 1
Social Security's Payroll Tax Surplus or Deficit



Source: 2003 Annual Report of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds, table IV.B1.

Fund balances, therefore, does not by itself have any impact on the Government's ability to pay benefits.¹

Even if Congress can find a way to redeem the bonds, the trust fund surplus will be completely exhausted by 2042. At that point, Social Security will have to rely solely on revenue from the payroll tax—but that revenue will not be sufficient to pay all promised benefits. Overall, Social Security faces unfunded liabilities of nearly \$26 trillion.⁴ Clearly, Social Security is not sustainable in its current form.

There are few options for dealing with the problem. That opinion is held by people who are not supporters of individual accounts as well as by those who are. As former president Bill Clinton pointed out, the only way to keep Social Security solvent is to (a) raise taxes, (b) cut benefits, or (c) get a higher rate of return through private capital investment.³ Henry Aaron of the Brookings Institution, a leading opponent of individual accounts, agrees. "Increased funding to raise pension reserves is possible only with some combination of additional tax revenues, reduced benefits, or increased investment returns from investing in higher yield assets," he told Congress in 1999.⁶

The tax increases or benefit cuts would have to be quite large. To maintain benefits in the first year after Social Security starts running a deficit,

the government must acquire revenues equivalent to \$197 per worker. By 2042, the additional tax burden increases to \$1,976 per worker, and by 2078 it reaches an astounding \$4,193 per worker (in constant 2003 dollars).⁷ And it continues to rise thereafter. Functionally, that would translate into either a huge increase in the payroll tax, from the current 12.4 percent to as much as 18.9 percent by 2077, or an equivalent increase in income or other taxes.⁸

A Declining Rate of Return


Social Security taxes are already so high, relative to benefits, that Social Security has quite simply become a bad deal for younger workers, providing a low, below-market rate of return. As Figure 2 shows, that return has been steadily declining and is expected to be less than 2 percent for most of today's workers.

The poor rate of return means that many young workers' retirement benefits will be far lower than if they were able to invest their payroll taxes privately.⁹ On the other hand, a system of individual accounts, based on private capital investment, would provide most workers with significantly higher returns. Those higher returns would translate into higher retirement benefits, leading to a more secure retirement for millions of seniors.

As Bill Clinton pointed out, the only way to keep Social Security solvent is to raise taxes, cut benefits, or get a higher rate of return through private capital investment.

<http://www.socialsecurity.org/pubs/ssps/ssp32.pdf>

Figure 2
Inflation-Adjusted Internal Real Rate of Return from OASI



Source: Dean Leimer, "Cohort-Specific Measures of Lifetime Net Social Security Transfers," Social Security Administration, Office of Research and Statistics, Working Paper no. 59, February 1994.

Savings and Economic Growth

Social Security operates on a pay-as-you-go (PAYGO) basis; almost all of the funds coming in are immediately paid out to current beneficiaries. This system displaces private, fully funded alternatives under which the funds coming in would be saved and invested for the future benefits of today's workers. The result is a large net loss of national savings, which reduces capital investment, wages, national income, and economic growth. Moreover, by increasing the cost of hiring workers, the payroll tax substantially reduces wages, employment, and economic growth.

Shifting to a private system, with hundreds of billions of dollars invested in individual accounts each year, would likely produce a large net increase in national savings, depending on how the government financed the transition. That would increase national investment, productivity, wages, jobs, and economic growth. Replacing the payroll tax with private retirement contributions would also improve economic growth because the required contributions would be lower and would be seen as part of a worker's direct compensation, stimulating more employment and output.

In 1997 Harvard economist Martin Feldstein estimated that, if all Social Security payroll taxes were privately invested, that investment

would produce a net benefit of from \$10 trillion to \$20 trillion in present value.¹⁰ That is his estimate of the present value of the improved economic performance that would result from the reform. Most of that net benefit would probably come in the form of higher returns and benefits earned for retirees through the private investment accounts. But some would also come in the form of higher wages and employment for working people.

Helping the Poor and Minorities

Low-income workers would be among the biggest winners under a system of privately invested individual accounts. Private investment would pay low-income workers significantly higher benefits than can be paid by Social Security. And that does not take into account the fact that blacks, other minorities, and the poor have below-average life expectancies. As a result, they tend to live fewer years in retirement and collect less in Social Security benefits than do whites. Under a system of individual accounts, by contrast, they would retain control over the funds paid in and could pay themselves higher benefits over their fewer retirement years, or leave more to their children or other heirs.¹¹

The higher returns and benefits of a private investment system would be most important to

If all Social Security payroll taxes were privately invested, that investment would produce a net benefit of from \$10 trillion to \$20 trillion in present value.

4



<http://www.socialsecurity.org/pubs/ssps/ssp32.pdf>

19. There is no such thing as "Social Security tax", this is unconstitutional

- **Social Security tax is unconstitutional**
- **"It is not insurance and is supported by forced withdrawals NOT voluntary contributions**
- **Social Security is nothing more than another unconstitutional fraudulent misapplied "income tax" on Americans Americans wages**
- **The U.S. Supreme court has repeatedly ruled that wages are private property and wages cannot be taxed - See 15 15 above**
- **There are only taxes on indirect sources, e.g. customs, excise, duties**
- **Direct taxes must be voluntary - see 14 above**
- **There is nothing in the law that mentions old age, disability, survivors or hospital insurance benefits**
- **Americans are paying two "income" taxes**
 1. **one "income" tax collected via form 1040**
 2. **AND another flat rate "income" tax automatically deducted from their wages**
 3. **"Income" is not defined in the IRS Code, U.S. Vs. Ballard "**
 4. **Income was meant to be profit and gain NOT wages - see above 14 & 15**

5. The IRS cannot produce the law requiring American citizens of the sovereign states to pay tax

- Reference for above in part "The Social Security Swindle" Irwin Schiff
- "There is no Social Security law requiring that one have a number, but the IRS Tax Code, section 6109 subsection A, stipulates that taxpayers shall utilize their Social Security numbers when filing tax returns. Therefore, if one pays taxes, one must have a Social Security number." -- Letter from Lloyd Bentson, U.S. Senator from Texas
 - <http://www.freedomdomain.com/constlaw.html>




20. All forms of government and tax, destroy our precious freedom and liberty

- The Framers intentions during the era our limited government was formed,
 - Demanded government stay within its budget
 - Using uniform indirect taxes only
 - Federal taxes were to be directly apportioned to the few states/colonies by population
 - This does not mean directly taxed to the state citizens
 - The states must follow the U.S. Constitution and the interpretation of that era
- Congressman Ron Paul before the US House of Representatives 2-15-2006
 - "so it wasn't long before kings and Caesars learned how to inflate their currencies by reducing the amount of gold in each coin - always hoping
 - their subjects wouldn't discover the fraud. But the people did....."
- "Financing extravagances by conquering foreign lands seemed a logical alternative to working harder and producing producing more.." Ibid
 - "Taxing the people in conquered territories also provided an incentive to build empires.

- This system of government worked well for a while, but the moral decline of the people led to an unwillingness unwillingness to produce for themselves.
 - There was a limit to the number of countries that could be sacked for their wealth and
 - This always brought empires to an end..." Ibid.
 - Congress created the Federal Reserve System in 1913.
 - Between 1913 and 1971 sound money was systematically undermined.
 - ...the Federal Reserve found it much easier to expand the money supply at will for financing war or
 - manipulating the economy with little resistance from Congress
 - while benefiting the special interests that influence government." Ibid.
 - <http://intellibriefs.blogspot.com/2006/02/congressman-says-us-dollar-heading-for.html>
- **Why Income Tax is Not Necessary to Fund the U.S. Government**
- <http://www.devvy.com/notax.html>
 - <http://www.etherzone.com/2003/stang082203.shtml>
 - 11 bills introduced by U.S. Legislature from 1998 to 2001 to terminate/abolish the IRS (9 of the 11) & amend the the IRS (2 of the 11)
- **How U.S. Revenue Collection Was Turned Inside Out (video) - <http://www.mises.org/media.aspx?action=showname&ID=642>**
- **Taxes cause and prolong wars**
- <http://www.alltheinternet.com/texis/open/alltb?q=taxes%20cause%20war>
 - "The Creature From Jekyll Island", G. Edward Griffin
 - Big Taxes = Big Government = Big Wars = Big Private Property Takings
 - Limited Indirect Taxes = Limited Government = Limited Aggression = No Private Property Takings
 - Tax Payers Are Becoming a Dieing Breed
 - 120 million Americans file but have 0 tax liability (40.0%)
 - <http://www.taxfoundation.org/publications/show/542.html>
 - 66 million Americans don't file taxes (22.0%)
 - Aaron Russo DVD "Freedom To Fascism", Watch it here free <http://video.google.com/videoplay?docid=-1656880303867390173>
 - Order DVD <http://www.freedomtofascism.com/downloads/dvd.php>
 - 88.6 million Americans pay taxes (29.4%)
 - <http://www.taxfoundation.org/publications/show/542.html>
 - 26 million under age (8.6%)
 - Total Americans = 300 million (100.%)
- **Wars could not be funded & therefore profitable without the unconstitutional taxes to fund them**
1. i.e. wars cause the greatest amount of tax burden
 2. the defense industry could be funded on indirect taxes on corporations alone
 3. unconstitutional forced direct taxes against wage earners goes directly to pay interest to central bankers on freely printed government money
 4. government can fund much of its expense by just printing WITHOUT THE INTEREST
 5. Government and wars have destroyed our freedoms, our property rights and the dollar via inflation and deficit spending
 - http://www.gold-eagle.com/editorials_04/norcini080604.html

Figure 11



The Biggest Con Irwin Schiff pg. 47

- **Bill Ahern of The Tax Foundation** - <http://www.taxfoundation.org/publications/show/542.html>
 - **Conclusion by Bill Ahern**
 "In 2004, a record 42.5 million tax returns – one-third of all returns filed – had no income tax liability because of the the available credits and deductions in the tax code. This is a 42 percent increase in the number of zero-tax filers in just four years. In addition to these zero-tax filers are the 15 million individuals or households who do not earn enough to file a tax return. Overall, nearly 58 million taxable households are outside of the income tax system.
 - These findings raise serious questions about the future of the U.S. income tax system. Are any future tax cuts, or or even tax reforms, possible when the lion's share of the tax burden is increasingly borne by a shrinking pool of of taxpayers who – at least on paper – appear to be "upper-income"? And will the expanding pool of non-payers demand even higher income taxes? These are questions lawmakers must begin to debate."
- **The Tax Reform Racket** - <http://www.mises.org/story/1727>
- **This is a must read** <http://www.barefootworld.net/consti15.html>
- <http://www.common-place.org/vol-02/no-04/reviews/holton.shtml>
- **If you have the stomach and can make up your own mind, watch this** - <http://www.zeitgeistmovie.com/>
- **The Root of All Evil** - <http://www.mises.org/etexts/rootofevil.pdf>
 - Introduction by J. Bracken Lee, Governor of Utah

Jury Nullification: The Top Secret Constitutional Right



The citizen jury's role "as a check on official power" is, in fact, "its intended function." The long-running debate about the power of a jury of peers in a criminal trial to judge the law as well as the facts of a case, "and to vote on the verdict according to conscience," has been suppressed, suppressed, often with hostility, by most judges and prosecutors.

Professor James Joseph Duane, Regent University School of Law, Virginia Beach, Virginia, writes, "If our criminal justice system is to retain some semblance of integrity in the long run," Americans must understand their basic Constitutional Right to "preserve the jury's constitutionally

constitutionally protected veto power over unjust prosecutions...to return a verdict of not guilty in the interests of justice." Read the [article](http://www.vlrc.org/articles/160.html).
<http://www.vlrc.org/articles/160.html>

21. There is no such thing as jurors who must follow court edicted law, this is unconstitutional

- "Nothing in the U.S. Constitution or in any Supreme Court decision requires jurors to take an oath to follow the the law as the judge explains it or, for that matter, authorizes the judge to "instruct" the jury at all."
- <http://www.fija.org//index.php?page=displaytxt&id=31>
- "The jury has the right to judge both the law as well as the fact..." -- John Jay,
 1st Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court 1789
 - <http://www.freedomdomain.com/constlaw.html>
- The pages of history shine on instances of the jury's exercise of it's prerogative to disregard instructions of the judge..." -- [U.S. vs. Dougherty, 473 F.2nd. 1113, 1139,1972](#)

22. There is no such thing as a "representative government" in America anymore

- Government only represents themselves, their minions, continuation of their political party and their funding sources.
 - without a vote of the people, especially those whose lives are personally impacted,
 - by deal making,
 - burying it,
 - deception,
 - fraud, etc.
- Government ignores prior constitutional and property rights precedence cases which are enacted to secure sovereignty
- Government, instead, enacts more laws taking our individual and national sovereignty to secure their own mob power and control
- Ever increasing legislation, judication & administration requires corresponding tyranny and takings private property.
- The constitution does not authorize the government to be responsible for our education, poor, elderly, retired, handicapped, insane, et al
- Federal, state, county and city governments are required to live within the U.S. Constitutional limits financially.
- "There are more than 600 legislative battles in the coming year, that will have a huge impact on your Amendment rights for years, even decades to come" Chris W. Cox NRA Executive Director 10-26-07
 - The NRA as turned around Right to Carry laws from 10 states in 1987 to 40 states now.
- The classic example of taking private property was described in the WA State Voters' Pamphlet, General Election Nov. 7, 2006
 1. Wherein Initiative I933 was voted onto the ballot requiring government to compensate property when government regulation damaged the use or value of private property, et al.
 2. WA State Office of Financial Management estimated state agencies have taken \$2.18 billion of


property but don't want to pay for it.

- Here is another classic example of how politicians can be manipulated by a few against the will of the rest of our homes and forest. As a result of the eastern green extreme, we suffer higher prices for our homes while forest fire burn down rest of our homes and forest.

"And now, first and foremost, you can never afford to forget for a moment what is the object of our forest policy. That object is not to preserve forests because they beautiful, though that is good in itself; nor they are refuges for the wild creatures of the wilderness, though that, too, is good in itself; but the primary object of our forest policy, as of the land policy of the United States, is the making of prosperous homes. It part of the traditional policy of home making in our country. Every other consideration comes as You yourselves have got to keep this practical object before your minds: to remember that a forest which contributes nothing to the wealth, progress, or safety of the country is of no interest to the Government, should be of little interest to the forester. Your attention must be directed to the preservation of forests, as an end in itself, but as the means of preserving and increasing the prosperity of the nation." - President Teddy Roosevelt, speaking to the Society of American Foresters in 1903. (emphasis added)"
http://www.propertyrightsresearch.org/presidential_quotes.htm

- Witness the breach of our borders by over 10 million illegal aliens
- Witness the breach of our infrastructure and the selling off of our public transportation and other public work systems
- Witness the breach of American sovereignty with the UN attempting to control our land, access and of the sea and tax us
- Witness the breach of our sovereignty with the North American Union, i.e. Mexico, U.S. and Canada
- *"If an act of the legislature, repugnant to the constitution, is void, does it, notwithstanding its invalidity, bind courts, and oblige them to give it effect? Or, in other words, though it be not law, does it constitute a rule as operative as if it was a law? This would be to overthrow in fact what was established in theory; and would first view, an absurdity too gross to be insisted on." -- Chief Justice Marshall.*
- *"This Constitution defines the extent of the powers of the general government. If the general legislature should at any time overleap their limits the judicial department is a constitutional check. If the United States beyond their powers, if they make a law which the Constitution does not authorize, it is void; and the judicial power, the National judges, who, to secure their impartiality, are to be made independent, will declare it to be void. On the other hand, if the States go beyond their limits, if they make a law which is a usurpation upon the Federal [National] government the law is void; and upright, independent judges will declare it to be so." - Ellsworth, in the Connecticut Convention*

Figure 1. Percentage of Tax Filers Who Owe Zero Tax Liability, 1950-2004*



* Estimated 2004 figure.
Source: IRS, Tax Foundation Individual Tax model

Personal Taxes vs Savings





Exhibit 5: Higher Government Spending Reduces Economic Growth Among the OECD Countries.



Here we show the relationship between size of government at the beginning of the decade and growth of real GDP during the decade. These data indicate that a 10 percent increase in government expenditures as a share of GDP reduces the annual rate of growth by 1 percent.

23. How government & non government takings create an equal and opposite reaction

1. Growing number of Americans are not filing taxes as awareness grows of the unconstitutionality of taxes against all private property

2. More Americans are challenging the courts, legislature and all government/non government groups taking our private property
3. The dollar will continue to collapse
4. The U.S. stock market was the 56th worst performer in 2006, i.e. our markets performance will continue to slide
5. Labor monopolies will force more corporations to leave the U.S.
6. Cheaper power abroad, due to free markets, will attract more heavy industry to leave U.S.
 - i.e. no restrictions on fossil fuel
 - i.e. more aggressive drilling and mining for all fossil fuel
7. More people will go to hard money and precious metal
8. More Americans are finding increasingly clever ways to zero out their returns
9. More Americans are going to cash, exchanging services, barter & eliminating their financial trails by not dealing with banks, etc.
10. Those tax payers slow to change will carry an increasingly burdensome percent of the taxes
11. More Americans and non Americans will become invisible, i.e not go through banks or financial institutions
 - "More people are revoking their social security numbers, returning their driver's licenses, canceling their voter registrations and closing their bank accounts."
12. The underground economy in America will grow exponentially
 - i.e. If you destroy a free market you create a black market.
—Sir Winston Churchill
13. More citizens and businesses are expatriating
14. More business are going offshore
15. More illegals pouring into the U.S. & those businesses hiring them, will not report income
16. As government debt grows, corresponding government tax collecting tyranny will (is) increase further forcing countering forces of freedom
17. Increased government takings and tyranny will stimulate and amplify growth of the freedom movement
18. One or more states will begin to seed reform of constitutional limited government, i.e.
 - More grass root groups fighting for their basic freedoms and liberties will migrate to neighboring tax havens
 - Constitutional reform states will galvanize & magnetize the next generation of freedom in America
19. More countries contiguous and close to the United States will offer lower and "come on" tax packages to Americans
20. Conversely those states who do not reform or are slow to reform will loose an increasing amount of business
21. New Limited governments at all levels, i.e. city, county and state will begin to evolve to a full constitutional limited form of government:

- More Americans will demand total freedom as they realize the true nature of freedom, i.e. absolute free choice.
- Limited government is run on uniform indirect uniform taxes only
- Limited government acknowledges direct taxes must be APPORTIONED AND VOLUNTARY
- Limited government obeys natural and constitutional laws respecting all private property as inalienable
- Limited government does take from A and giving to B, this is stealing.
- Government must be cut back to the original concept of uniform indirect taxes only and voluntary apportioned direct taxes
- Nobody can force any united States citizen to pay for anything, let alone forced direct taxes
- This is the dirty little secret our government and those who control it do not want you to know
- Those involved in this embezzlement of our property rights have done such a good job...
 - We The People no longer Know our own rights
 - Worse yet, we don't even know our precious rights our missing!
- This is why the freedom movement is exploding across our land
- This is why the constitution must be reborn again - <http://www.constitution.org/>

23. The internet will kill the virus of the state - <http://jim.com/killer.htm>

24. Is the United State Post Office Unconstitutional?

- from which passage of the Constitution can Congress claim this right? - <http://www.fee.org/publications/the-freeman/article.asp?aid=3132>
- Postal Tyranny - <http://www.progress.org/archive/fold91.htm>
- Postal Problems - http://www.pbs.org/newshour/bb/fedagencies/jan-june01/postal_05-03.html
- The Unconstitutionality of the Laws of Congress - http://www.lysanderspooner.org/bib_new.htm
- Who Caused the Reduction in Postage? - http://www.lysanderspooner.org/bib_new.htm

25. Are Cops Constitutional?

- <http://www.constitution.org/lrev/roots/cops.htm>

26. Is America funding terrorism?

- Check it out on http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=58263
- I listened to this interview of the author and his new book "Schmoozing with Terrorists", he presents a credible credible case.
- Is American funding BOTH the Suni and Shiites without congressional approval? - <http://thinkprogress.org/2007/02/25/hersh-qaeda/>
- Is state and federal government guilty of gross negligence regarding the management of our foster care programs?

- " Four hundred thousand children disappear every year in these programs, right here in the United States of America"
- Check it out here <http://www.dannion.com/main.htm>
- http://www.expertlaw.com/library/personal_injury/negligence.html

27. Is America practicing Communism?

- <http://www.libertyzone.com/Communist-Manifesto-Planks.html>
- Summarized in the 10 statements below
 1. Abolition of private property
 2. A heavy progressive or graduated income tax
 3. Abolition of all rights of inheritance
 4. Confiscation of the property of all emigrants and rebels
 5. Centralization of credit in the hands of the state...
 6. Centralization of the means of communications and transportation in the hands of the State.
 7.the bringing into cultivation of waste lands and the improvement of the soil ...for the common plan.
 8. Equal liability of all to labor
 9. Combination of Ag with Mftg. and gradual abolition of distinction between town and country
 10. Free education in public schools

28. There is no such thing as "freedom in America"

- "The United States is No Longer Champion of Economic Freedom"
 - PLEASE READ THIS ARTICLE - ITS WORTH ONE MINUTE TO SCAN
 - <http://www.heritage.org/Research/TradeandForeignAid/bg1781.cfm>
- "Sheep To The Constitutional Slaughter" - <http://www.reason.com/news/show/123496.html>
- Freedom means independence
- But American's are dependent and enslaved by tyranny to the state
- Freedom means free choice, not forced choice by government and non government bodies.
- All takings of private property, have led to the takings of our freedoms, liberties & free choices under many names and devices over 231 years.
- Government & non government groups are allowed to place collective rights over individual inalienable rights
 - This is against all laws of God, Natural Law, Common Law and Constitutional Law
- Government is illegitimate, unconstitutional and tyrannical
- Our government's behavior is identical to a drug addicted criminal - http://www.propertyrightsresearch.org/presidential_quotes.htm

"Defying this understanding, the Court replaces the Public Use Clause with a 'Public Purpose' Clause . . . (or perhaps the 'Diverse and Always Evolving Needs of Society' Clause . . .)"



Justice
Thomas

necessity,' but instead for 'public use.' "

Credit to Washington Supreme Court Justice Richard B. Sanders

VI. The Honorable Clarence Thomas, Associate Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court

- Here is a touching story of his life - summary below FYI
 - <http://www.hillsdale.edu/news/imprimis.asp>
- *"But you should always keep in mind that the rights in the Bill of Rights was an afterthought.*
- *That's why it's made up of what are called amendments.*
- *It was not in the original Constitution.*
- *The rights in the Bill of Rights were originally assumed as natural rights, and some people at the time thought that writing writing them into the Constitution was redundant.*
- *Read the Declaration of Independence.*
- *We should always start, when we read the Constitution, by reading the Declaration, because*
- *it gives us the reasons why the structure of the Constitution was designed the way it was.*
- *And it was the structure of the government that was supposed to protect our liberty.*
- *And what has happened through the years is that the protections afforded by that structure have been dissipated.*
- *So my opinions are often about the undermining of those structural protections, e.g.*
 1. *Commerce Clause*
 2. *Doctrine of Incorporation*
 3. *Substantive Due Process*
- *People should care about these things*
- *And I try to explain why clearly in my opinions."*
 - *Justice Thomas U.S. Supreme Court*

VII. SUMMARY

- **We The People either have unconditional free choice or we have tyranny.**
 - We either follow the Constitution and the Declaration of Independence to the letter or we don't.
 - Illegitimate amendments to our Constitution cannot go ignored
 - Prior high court rulings protecting our property rights cannot go ignored
 - Current partial list of the top takers of our individual AND national sovereignty are;
 1. Our government at all levels, i.e. city, county, state & federal
 2. Especially the majority of judges, congress and the executive branches of government
 3. Central bankers
 4. Political parties
 5. Media
 6. UN
 7. Globalist
 8. Environmental, animal and bird extreme groups
 9. Our educational system, academia and many of the teachers themselves
 10. Public employee unions
 11. Social engineering agendas
 12. Anybody taking anything from government
- There has been a massive breach of our God given, natural, inalienable and constitutional rights
- American's have been herded into constitutional slaughter houses where our rights have been butchered
- Government and non government tyranny grows,
- which has increased the takings of private property as well as all other natural and constitutional rights
- Freedom requires only free choice within the basic framework of;
 - God's Law
 - Natural Law
 - Common Law
 - Constitutional Law

VIII. Wake up America & Take Back Your Private Property, The key to the kingdom.

- No majority or minority can take your inalienable rights
- No judge, court, congress person or executive can take what is not theirs to give
- Learn how the takers have deceived us against our will and the will of our Founding Fathers
- This is a must read site <http://usa-the-republic.com/jurisprudentia/index.html>
- Also print out and read "The UCC Connection" by Howard Freeman" -
- <http://usa-the-republic.com/revenue/ucc%20connection.html>

*Jack Venrick
B.S. Electrical Engineering
M.S. Applied Science -
Industrial Engineering
Business Administration
Montana State University
The Boeing Company
30 Years - Retired
Power Systems
Manufacturing Standards Systems
Computing Resource Forecasting & Administration
Facility Management Systems
Engineering Standards Systems
Enumclaw, WA*

*How can government & non government groups control anyone who wish to be free?
How can one person force another to do anything but with tyranny?
How hard can it be,
To stop taking from each other,
And set each other unconditionally free?*



For evil to flourish, good men have to do nothing".

www.goodneighborlaw.com

www.narlo.org

www.proprights.org

www.americanpolicy.org

"By three methods may we learn wisdom:

1. First, by reflection, which is noblest;
2. second, by imitation, which is easiest; and
3. third, by experience, which is bitterest."

Confucius

Bonus freedom and liberty sites if you made it this far - congratulations!

You are on your way to freeing yourself from the world's richest and oppressive government in history!

<http://www.mostgraveconcern.com/>

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Devvy/kidd279.htm>

<http://www.roage.com/experiencetruth.doc>

http://www.losthorizons.com/Cracking_the_Code.htm

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rvcZiNt6ypI>



32. LITTLE SHOP OF HORRORS FEED ME LYRICS

FEED ME. FEED ME. FEED ME!

FEED ME, SEYMOUR.

FEED ME ALL NIGHT LONG.

"THAT'S RIGHT, BOY!"

YOU CAN DO IT...

FEED ME, SEYMOUR.

FEED ME ALL NIGHT LONG...

'CAUSE IF YOU FEED ME, SEYMOUR,

I CAN GROW UP, BIG AND STRONG.

WOULD YOU LIKE A CADILLAC CAR?
OR A GUEST-SHOT ON JACK PAR?
HOW ABOUT A DATE WITH HEADY LEMARR...
YOU GONNA GIT IT.
"IF YOU WANT IT, BABY."

HOW'D YA LIKE TO BE A BIG WHEEL?
DINNING OUT, FOR EVERY MEAL.
I'M THE PLANT CAN MAKE IT ALL REAL.
YOU'RE GONNA GIT IT.

HEY, I'M YOUR GENIE.
I'M YOUR FRIEND.
I'M YOUR WILLIN' SLAVE...
TAKE A CHANCE, FEED ME, YEAH.
YOU KNOW THE KINDA EATS,
THE KINDA RET-HOT TREATS,
THE KINDA STICKY LICKY, SWEETS I CRAVE...

OW! COME ON, SEYMOUR,
DON'T BE A PUTZ!
TRUST ME AND YOUR LIFE WILL SURELY
RIVAL KING TUT'S.
SHOW A LITTLE INITIATIVE, BOY,
WORK UP SOME GUTS,
AND YOU'LL GIT IT.

SEYMOUR:
I DON'T KNOW.
I DON'T KNOW.

I HAVE SO...SO MANY STRONG...RESERVATIONS.
SHOULD I GO...AND PERFORM...MUTILATIONS?

[FEED ME (GIT IT) LYRICS ON [HTTP://WWW.METROLYRICS.COM](http://www.metrolyrics.com)]

THINK ABOUT A ROOM AT THE RITZ,
WRAPPED IN VELVET, COVERED IN GLITZ.
A LITTLE NOOKIE GONNA CLEAN UP THOSE ZITS,
AND YOU'LL GIT IT...UH HUH...

SEYMOUR:

GEE I'D LIKE A HARLEY MACHINE.
TAKE IT AROUND LIKE I WAS JAMES DEAN.
MAKIN' ALL THE GUYS ON THE CORNER TURN GREEN!
SO, GO GIT IT! WOO WOO WOO!

IF YOU WANNA BE PROFOUND...
IF YOU REALLY GOTTA JUSTIFY...
TAKE A BREATH AND LOOK AROUND...
A LOT OF FOLKS DESERVE TO DIE...

BOTH:

IF YOU WANT A RATIONALE,
IT ISN'T VERY HARD TO SEE.
NO, NO, NO...
STOP AND THINK IT OVER, PAL.
THE GUY SURE LOOKS LIKE PLANT FOOD TO ME!
THE GUY SURE LOOKS LIKE PLANT FOOD TO ME!
THE GUY SURE LOOKS LIKE PLANT FOOD TO ME...!

SEYMOUR:
HE'S SO NASTY TREATIN' HER ROUGH!

AUDREY II:
SMACKIN' HER AROUND, AND ALWAYS TALKIN' SO TOUGH!

SEYMOUR:
YOU NEED BLOOD AND HE'S GOT MORE THAN ENOUGH!

AUDRY II:
I NEED BLOOD AND HE'S GOT MORE THAN ENOUGH!

BOTH:
(YOU/I) NEED BLOOD AND HE'S GOT MORE THAN ENOUGH...!

AUDREY II:
SO, GO GIT IT!

----- Original Message -----

From: [Representative Dave Reichert](#)
To: jacksranch@skynetbb.com
Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2007 2:29 PM
Subject: Responding to your message

Dear Mr. Venrick,

Thank you for sharing your thoughts regarding energy policy in America. I appreciate the opportunity to respond.

Since coming to Congress, I have taken action to address our nation's energy policy by supporting goals like conservation, production, and developing alternative energy. We must make sure that America has a clean, renewable and efficient supply of energy. This benefits our economy, our environment and our national security. Currently, we rely too heavily on foreign sources of energy that can quickly and unexpectedly rise in cost and harm our environment and put our nation's security at risk. We must rely on the American spirit of innovation to solve this problem and I believe we can and will.

In order to move our nation toward more clean, renewable, reliable and efficient sources of energy, I voted for two bills on August 4, 2007: *H.R. 2776, the Renewable Energy and Conservation Tax Act of 2007*, and *H.R. 3221, the New Direction for Energy Independence, National Security and Consumer Protection Act*. This legislation encourages innovations in renewable energy, seeking to decrease dependence on foreign energy sources. The legislation also incorporated language from separate legislation I co-sponsored, H.R.589, which has a significant impact on the development and deployment of plug-in hybrid technology in the nation's transportation sector.

The legislation provides long-term incentives spurring the production of electricity from renewable sources, including wind, solar, biomass, geothermal, river currents, ocean tides, landfill gas, and trash combustion resources. While I was disappointed that the legislation which came before the House did not include any provisions to increase fuel efficiency standards for automobiles, I hope that Congress will soon consider the bill I introduced, H.R. 656 or the bill I am an original co-sponsor of H.R. 1506. We cannot seriously address our nation's addiction to oil without increasing our fuel economy standards.

I was also pleased to vote for an amendment which will require a 15% renewable energy standard across the nation (hydro-power receives a special exemption in this bill). The amendment, offered by Congressmen Udall (D-NM) and Platts (R-PA), passed the House and was included in the final bill.

I will continue to pursue opportunities to address our nation's dependence on foreign sources of energy. We must continue to find clean, renewable and efficient sources of energy.

Once again, thank you for taking the time to get in touch with me. Your interest and input are valued and I hope to hear from you in the future regarding other matters of importance. I encourage you to visit my website and sign up for my weekly e-newsletter to learn more about other issues impacting the 8th Congressional District and our nation.

Sincerely,

David G. Reichert
Member of Congress

